



Response under 37 C.F.R. 1.116
- Expedited Examining Procedure Examining Group 2622

MAIL STOP AF H10108RAR Customer No. 01333

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Tomas Roztocil, et al.

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF TABS IN A PRODUCTION PRINTING WORKFLOW

Serial No. 09/803,166

Filed 09 March 2001

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Sir:

Group Art Unit: 2622

Examiner: Twyler M. Lamb

I heraby certify that this correspondence is being deposited today with the United States Postal service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, https://doi.org/10.1111/1451

Dabra, Novacki 30, 2005

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Final Rejection dated April 25, 2005.

Paragraph 3 of the Office Action:

Claims 1-3, 15 and 35 are rejected as being obvious over Suzuki et al. in view of MacKay. The rejection is based on the Examiner's opinion that it would have been obvious to modify the system of Suzuki et al. in view of the teachings of MacKay. The rejection is respectfully traversed for the reasons set forth immediately below.

Suzuki et al. relates to a job scheduling system that assigns attributes to a job. The attributes ad collation/uncollation, process start instruction wait, designation of exclusive processing, and designation of password input wait. Jobs are scheduled and processed by passing the jobs to a job execution section. Not surprisingly since Suzuki et al. has nothing to do with the insertion of ordered media into a document, the Examiner states that Suzuki et al.

To Enter